Wake Up, Folks…

A day or so ago, someone on our church forum asked the question whether it was too soon to unlock the country yet, and opined that it was still too soon to do so: *"Looking at the bigger picture – what's the rush?"*

There were various responses: yea, nay, and not sure. Having begun to look at the subject of Covid-19 in a little more detail as the weeks of lockdown have worn on, my view is as follows:

The problem with the blanket and compulsory lockdown is that a lot more harm is being caused to a lot more people (and the toll will be even greater in the coming months and years) than would be the case if just the vulnerable were advised it might be safer for them to consider staying at home for a while until the danger to them is passed.

A spike certainly would not be helpful, but if the Government had followed its initial instincts, instead of being swayed by extremely questionable computer models, and allowed the nation to develop herd immunity as we normally do with seasonal flus, then it's doubtful we would be in this very tenuous situation now - we probably wouldn't be facing the possibility of a second wave of illness when this lockdown is tentatively lifted, and so social distancing restrictions could be eased too. But without that necessary herd immunity, people whose immune systems are weaker and/or are especially vulnerable to respiratory diseases may continue to remain more at risk than the general population. Easing/ending the lockdown has to start sometime and it has to start soon if the country isn't going to be broken beyond repair.

So, firstly, there should be a rush to unlock, because:

- more people are now dying, and in danger of dying in the months to come, from the lockdown (e.g., from other serious illnesses that are not now being treated in favour of the virus, from increased domestic abuse, etc) than are dying from Covid-19;
- the population's immune systems are being weakened through lack of vitamin D, exercise, social contact, etc (thus leaving people more susceptible to this particular coronavirus as well as to other coronaviruses that underlie other seasonal colds and flus);
- in every other illness, it is the sick who are quarantined, not the healthy;
- the economy is being crashed and many, many people are losing their jobs - which will lead to depressions, suicides, etc.;
- child abuse has increased due to the lockdown;
- alcoholism has increased due to the lockdown;
- home abortions are being promoted due to the lockdown - as if we don't already murder enough unborn babies;
- DNRs are being forced on the vulnerable elderly, weak, and mentally impaired;
- freedom of assembly and association, as well as a host of other rights and liberties from Magna Carta onwards are being trampled on. Easily given away by us they will not be returned to us so easily…

That list - and more - is why the lockdown (a prison term) must be quickly ended. For those who have an amount of autonomy it is no doubt the case that lockdown is *not* so much like a prison, but there are a lot of people who have little or no control over the terms of their particular lockdown situation (as per the list above), and for them this lockdown *is* a prison sentence that they have done nothing to deserve. My household is [privileged] too in that sense, and I'm very grateful for that. For all of those of us who do enjoy such privilege the lockdown may not seem so onerous, but that is far from the case for everyone.

Secondly, the UK Government first insisted on the lockdown/house imprisonment to (supposedly) enable the NHS to cope. The NHS *has* coped. The hastily thrown up Nightingale hospitals across the country (across the world) are standing empty: the 4000-bed Nightingale hospital in London has had a maximum of nineteen (if I recollect correctly) Covid-19 patients.

The Government has now moved these initial goalposts; insisting instead on five utterly vague conditions that can be drawn out indefinitely: i.e., primarily until a certain billionaire founder of Microsoft (with a certain chief medical advisor to the UK Government and a certain Imperial College 'scientific' computer modeller in his pocket) can implement his long-held desire to impose his mandatory vaccinations and digital certificates on the world's population.

Thirdly, the bigger picture is the global implementation of Agenda 21/2030. Agenda 21 is the name the United Nations gave to its *Programme of Action for Sustainable Development* at its 'Earth Summit' held in Rio in June 1992. (If you have trouble sleeping any time, its 345-page report of that Summit, and accompanying 1100-page *Global Diversity Assessment* will have you nodding off in minutes!)

Agenda 21's Sustainable Development Goals are directly relevant to our current situation because the social/travel/other limits now being placed on the world's population are the *seeds* of the United Nation's A21/2030 programme for its planned one world government.

And in its turn, A21/2030 is a precursor or a shadow of the tribulation, and will be preparing the world for antichrist's 15 minutes (well, three-and-a-half-years) of fame. How long it will take for the post-lockdown 'New Normal' to morph into Agenda 21/2030, and how long the world will be subjected to A21 before the tribulation starts, I have no idea and I think I'd rather not know for now. However, the good news is that the Lord will return to put an end to all these shenanigans and set up His eternal Kingdom.

In the meantime, I wonder if the country needs to wake up to what this experiment in population control is really all about…

Elizabeth McDonald,
22 April 2020 / 01/06/07 May 2020
bayith.org

For articles and other information on Lockdowns, please see: https://www.bayith.org/Covid19_Lockdowns.htm

For articles, videos, books, and other information on the link between Covid-19 and Agenda 21/2030, please see: https://www.bayith.org/Covid19_GlobalResetAgenda21.htm

For more information on all aspects of Covid-19, please see: https://www.bayith.org/Covid19.htm