

# Questions for Councils Regarding Local Agenda 21

By Tom Deweese

Extract from:

***Agenda 21: Conspiracy Theory or Threat***

<http://deweese-report.com/2012/05/12/agenda-21-conspiracy-theory-or-threat-4/>

12 May 2012

City and County Councils have become war zones as citizens question the origins of development plans and planners deny any international connections to the UN's Agenda 21.

What is the truth? ...

The standard points made by those who deny any Agenda 21 connections [are] that:

- Local planning is a local idea;
- Agenda 21 is a non-binding resolution not a treaty, carries no legal authority from which any nation is bound to act. It has no teeth;
- The UN has no enforcement capability;
- There are no 'Blue-Helmeted' UN troops at City Hall;
- Planners are simply honest professionals trying to do their job, and all these protests are wasting their valuable time;
- The main concern of Agenda 21 is that man is fouling the environment and using up resources for future generations and we just need a sensible plan to preserve and protect the earth. What is so bad about that?;
- There is no hidden agenda;
- "I've read Agenda 21 and I can find no threatening language that says it is a global plot. What are you so afraid of?";
- And of course, the most often heard response – "Agenda 21, what's that?";

And after they have proudly stated these well thought out points, they arrogantly throw down the gauntlet and challenge us to "answer these facts".

Well, first I have a few questions of my own that I would love to have answered.

Will one of these "innocent" promoters of the "Agenda 21 is meaningless" party line, please answer the following:

- If it all means nothing, why does the UN spend millions of dollars to hold massive international meetings in which hundreds of leaders, potentates and high priests attend, along with thousands of non-governmental organizations [NGOs] of every description, plus the international news media, which reports every action in breathless anticipation of its impact on the world?

- If it all means nothing, why do those same NGO representatives (which are all officially sanctioned by the UN in order to participate) spend months (sometimes years) debating, discussing, compiling, and drafting policy documents?
- If it all means nothing, why do leaders representing nearly every nation in the world attend and, with great fanfare, sign these policy documents?

Time after time we witness these massive international meetings, we read the documents that result from them, and when we question their meaning or possible impact on our nation[s], we are met with a dismissive shrug and a comment of “oh, probably not much...”

Really? Then why? Why waste the money, time, and human energy? Could it be that the only purpose is to simply give diplomats, bureaucrats, and NGOs a feeling of purpose in their meaningless lives, or perhaps a chance to branch out of their lonely apartments? Or could it really be that these meetings and the documents they produce are exactly as we say they are – a blueprint for policy, rules, regulations, perhaps even global governance that will affect the lives, fortunes, property and futures of every person on earth? Which is it? You can't have it both ways.

~~~~~